UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,857	08/25/2006	Ruud De Wit	3794	1346
²⁷⁸ MICHAEL J. S	7590 11/09/201 TRIKER	0	EXAMINER	
103 EAST NEC	CK ROAD		ZENATI, AMAL S	
HUNTINGTON, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

striker@strikerlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/590,857	DE WIT ET AL.		
zammor muutou mervion cummary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	AMAL ZENATI	2614		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Afte</u>	Status of Application: <u>After Final</u>		
(1) <u>AMAL ZENATI</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>I. Zborovsky</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 20 October 2010	Time: <u>00:30</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed: 12, 20, 21, 22, and 23				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:				
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
/CURTIS KUNTZ/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if annropriate)		
(.7)	pp.meana representati	Signature in appropriate)		

Examiner has called Applicant representative, I. Zborovsky, and proposed an Examiner's amendment in order to put the case in condition for allowance.

Examiner proposed the following amendment:

- 1. Add the limitation of claim 20 "an ejector facility including at least one opening which allows for a user to push said at least one exchangeable control out of said casing" to both independent claims 12 and 23.
- 2. Cancelled dependent claim 20 and independent claim 22.
- 3. Take of the phrase "for use" from independent cliams 12, 21, and 23.

On October 25, 2010, Applicant representative, I. Zborovsky, informed the Examiner by phone that Applicant has accepted the above proposed amendment.